

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PS O Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www upple gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,179	11/17/2003	Steven J. Simske	200310947-1	3337	
22879 7590 063902908 HEWLETT PASON TO THE PASON TO THE PASON PO BOX 272400, 3404 F. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DINH, KHANH Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2008	ELECTRONIC .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 10/715,179 Filing Date: November 17, 2003 Appellant(s): SIMSKE ET AL.

Alan D. Christenson (Reg. No.54,036)
For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 4/16/2008 appealing from the Office action mailed 1/24/2008.

Art Unit: 2154

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

No amendment after final has been filed.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

6,907,112 GUEDALIA 6-2005

Art Unit: 2154

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guedalia et al., US pat, No.6.907.112.

As to claim 1, Guedalia discloses a system, comprising:

a user voice interface and a processor coupled to the user voice interface, wherein the processor interprets words spoken by a user through the user voice interface (using voice response computer for processing voice electronic mail, see fig.1A, abstract, col.22 lines 8-59 and col.26 line 27 to col.27 line 38); and a memory coupled to the processor, wherein the memory stores an email application executed by the processor, wherein the email application summarizes email messages and navigates a plurality of email messages according to the words spoken by the user (using text to speech converter, see col.22 line 60 to col.23 line 49).

Art Unit: 2154

As to claim 2, Guedalia discloses that the email application accesses information on a network related to a word spoken by the user and displays the information to the user (see col.22 line 60 to col.23 line 49).

As to claim 3, Guedalia discloses that the email application provides content-based redirection between at least two items selected from the group consisting of emails and email attachments (see col.24 lines 14-60).

As to claims 4 and 5, Guedalia discloses that the user voice interface is selected from the group consisting of a cell phone, a telephone, and a microphone and a speaker coupled to the processor, wherein the speaker audibly communicates options provided by the email application to the user (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claim 6, Guedalia discloses that the speaker further allows written content of emails and email attachments to be communicated audibly to a user of the email application (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claim 7, Guedalia discloses wherein the email application controls email content presented to a user according to at least one voice-controlled function selected from a group consisting of expanding a summary sentence to a surrounding paragraph, contracting an email paragraph to a summary sentence, contracting an email to a

Art Unit: 2154

summary, accessing a next instance of a topic in an email, accessing a next instance of a topic in an email attachment, repeating a sentence of an email, repeating a paragraph of an email, repeating an email, skipping to the end of an email, and accessing emails within an email string (see col.27 lines 8-65).

As to claims 8 and 9, Guedalia discloses that the email application weights words of a text to generate summaries of emails and email attachments and the email application allows a user to dictate words for a voice-activated function selected from a group consisting of creating an email message, editing an email attachment, filling in fields of an email, and creating an audio version of an email (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claim 10, Guedalia discloses that the email application permit a user via voice commands to perform a function selected from a group consisting of adding an attachment to an existing attachment, editing an existing attachment, adding an attachment from a remote server, adding a URL-based attachment, and adding metadata to a header of an attachment (see col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44).

As to claim 11, Guedalia discloses a method, comprising: receiving voice commands; selecting a mode for sequencing through a plurality of emails according to a voice command (using voice response computer for processing voice electronic mail, see fig.1A, abstract, col.22 lines 8-59); presenting content of a select email to a user

Art Unit: 2154

according to a voice command and accessing an email containing text related to the presented email according to a voice command (using text to speech converter, see col.22 line 60 to col.23 line 49).

As to claim 12, Guedalia discloses accessing an email attachment related to the presented content of the selected email according to a voice command (see col.22 line 60 to col.23 line 49).

As to claim 13, Guedalia discloses activating an interrupt while presenting content of the selected email according to a voice command, wherein the interrupt causes an action selected from a group consisting of: exiting an email browser; going to a next email; going to a previous email; opening an attachment; and returning to an email sequencing mode select menu (see col.24 lines 14-60).

As to claim 14, Guedalia discloses wherein the mode for sequencing through a plurality of emails is selected from a group consisting of an entire email mode, an email summary mode, an email subject mode, an email sender mode, and an important emails mode (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claims 15-17, Guedalia discloses dictating an email message according to a voice command, adding an email attachment according to a voice command and editing an email attachment according to a voice command (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line

Art Unit: 2154

44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claim 18, Guedalia discloses searching for information on a network related to text of an email using a voice command (see col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44).

As to claim 19, Guedalia discloses a computer readable medium containing instructions that are executable by a computer system, and when executed the instructions implement a method comprising:

selecting a mode for sequencing a plurality of emails according to a voice command of a user; dynamically changing content presentation of a select email according to a voice command of the user (using voice response computer for processing voice electronic mail, see fig.1A, abstract, col.22 lines 8-59); and accessing an email containing text related to the select email according to a voice command of a user (using text to speech converter, see col.22 line 60 to col.23 line 49).

As to claim 20, Guedalia discloses executing the instructions implement a method further comprising summarizing emails and email attachments presentable to the user (see col.22 line 60 to col.23 line 49).

As to claim 21, Guedalia discloses using voice-based web navigation techniques to perform a search for information related to content of the select email and audibly presenting results of said search to the user (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44

Art Unit: 2154

and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claim 22, Guedalia discloses dictating words of an email according to a voiceactivated function selected from a group consisting of automatic speech recognition, automatic speech recognition with text-to-speech feedback, automatic speech recognition plus an audio attachment, and audio only (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

.

As to claim 23, Guedalia discloses performing a voice-activated function selected from a group consisting of adding an attachment to an existing attachment, editing an existing attachment, adding an attachment from a remote server, adding a URL-based attachment, and adding meta-data to a header of an attachment (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claim 24, Guedalia discloses managing interrupts while an email is being presented, wherein the interrupts are selected from a group consisting of exiting the email application, accessing a next email, accessing a previous email, opening an attachment, returning to an email sequencing menu, accessing another email that contains similar content, and accessing an email attachment that contains similar content (see col.22 line 60 to col.23 line 49).

As to claim 25, Guedalia discloses managing access to related email, wherein the

Art Unit: 2154

emails are related by at least one item selected from a group consisting of topic, TO field, FROM field, SUBJECT field, temporal cue, and attachments (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1 and 20 respectively.

As to claim 28, Guedalia discloses accessing information related to text content of an email wherein the information is accessed from a source selected from a group consisting of emails, email attachments, Internet content, and Intranet content (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

As to claim 29, Guedalia discloses that the email sequencing mode allows a user to visually or audibly access text selected from a group consisting of entire emails, email summaries, email senders, email subjects, and important emails (see fig.2A, col.24 line 26 to col.25 line 44 and col.26 lines 10-46).

(10) Response to Argument

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose "the email application summarizes email messages".

Art Unit: 2154

In claim 1, Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses the Appellant claimed invention by disclosing "an email application that summarizes email messages by sending an email reply/response (email application) containing only a link to the audio file rather than the file itself (entire email file) (see e abstract, col.22 lines 8-59 and col.20 line 29 to col.31 line 8). The purpose of this application is not to broadcast sensitive information over the web and users do not have to use the web browser to listen to the voice reply. Moreover, Guedalia discloses parsing each of email messages into small units such as individual sentences (see col.31 lines 22-64). Therefore, it meets the breadth of the claim.

Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose the email application
provides content based redirection between at least two items selected from the
group containing emails and email attachments.

In claim 3, Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses the email application provides content based redirection between at least two items selected from the group containing emails and email attachments (there are two ways to send a response email using a voice response unit. For example, mobile users can use the first menu (email implementation) or the second menu (attaching speech reply as a WAV file attachment) (see col.21 lines 13-37 and col. 24 lines 14-60).

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/715,179
Art Unit: 2154

Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose "wherein the email
application controls email content presented to a user according to at least one
voice-controlled function selected from a group consisting of expanding a summary
sentence to a surrounding paragraph, contracting an email paragraph to a summary
sentence, contracting an email to a summary, accessing a next instance of a topic in
an email, accessing a next instance of a topic in an email attachment, repeating a
sentence of an email, repeating a paragraph of an email, repeating an email,
skipping to the end of an email, and accessing emails within an email string".

In claim 7, Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses the Appellant's claim invention. However, in the claim language, Appellant claims "...to at least one voice controlled function selected from the group consisting of expanding a summary sentence to a surrounding paragraph, contracting an email paragraph to a summary sentence, contracting an email to a summary, accessing a next instance of a topic in an email, accessing a next instance of a topic in an email, accessing a next instance of a topic in an email, accessing a next instance of a paragraph of an email, repeating an email, skipping to the end of an email, and accessing emails within an email string", not the whole group; therefore, Examiner's interpretation of the claim language that only one limitation from the group's limitations is selected such as contracting an email into a summary (parsing each of email messages into small units such as individual sentences, see col.31 lines 22-64).

Art Unit: 2154

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose the application weight words of a text to generate summaries of emails and email attachments.

In claim 8, Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses the application weight words of a text to generate summaries of emails and email attachments (parsing each of email massages into small units such as individual sentences, see col.31 lines 22-64).

Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose "the email application
permit a user via voice commands to perform a function selected from a group
consisting of adding an attachment to an existing attachment, editing an existing
attachment, adding an attachment from a remote server, adding a URL-based
attachment, and adding meta-data to a header of an attachment".

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses claim limitation. However, in the claim language, Appellant claims "...to perform a function selected from the group consisting of adding an attachment to an existing attachment, editing an existing attachment, adding an attachment from a remote server, adding a URL-based attachment, and adding meta-data to a header of an attachment", not the whole group; Therefore, Examiner's interpretation of the claim language that only one limitation from the group's limitations is selected such as adding an attachment

Art Unit: 2154

from a remote server (adding speech reply as a WAV file attachment to the email reply, see col.21 lines 13-58 and col.31 lines 22-64).

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose selecting a mode for sequencing through a plurality of emails according to a voice command.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses selecting a mode for sequencing through a plurality of emails according to a voice command (using voice response computer (100 fig.6) to process two email messages according to users' instruction by voice command, see figs.6, 7, col.30 line 51 to col.31 line 58).

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose accessing an email containing text related to the presented email according to a voice command.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses accessing an email containing text related to the presented email according to a voice command (processing tasks for multi-email messages such as conversion in response to users' voice response to the voice response computer, see figs.6, 7, col.30 line 51 to col.31 line 58).

Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose activating an interrupt
while presenting content of the selected email according to a voice command

Application/Control Number: 10/715,179 Page 14

Art Unit: 2154

causing from a group selected from a group of activating an interrupt while presenting content of the selected email according to a voice command, wherein the interrupt causes an action selected from a group consisting of: exiting an email browser; going to a next email; going to a previous email; opening an attachment; and returning to an email sequencing mode select menu.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses activating an interrupt (waiting for an acknowledgment) while presenting content of the selected email according to a voice command causing from a group selected from a group of "..."

Appellant claims "...to perform a function selected from the group consisting of activating an interrupt while presenting content of the selected email according to a voice command, wherein the interrupt causes an action selected from a group consisting of: exiting an email browser; going to a next email; going to a previous email; opening an attachment; and returning to an email sequencing mode select menu", not the whole group; therefore, Examiner's interpretation of the claim language that only one limitation from the group's limitations is selected such as opening an email attachment (when an email client sends email containing a large attachment, it must wait for an acknowledgment from an email server, see col.26 lines 17-65).

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose the mode for sequencing through a plurality of emails is selected from a group consisting of an entire email

Art Unit: 2154

mode, an email summary mode, an email subject mode, an email sender mode, and an important emails mode.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses the mode for sequencing through a plurality of emails is selected from a group selected from a group consisting of an entire email mode, an email summary mode, an email subject mode, an email sender mode, and an important emails mode. Appellant claims "... to perform a function selected from the group consisting of an entire email mode, an email summary mode, an email subject mode, an email sender mode, and an important emails mode", not the whole group; therefore, Examiner's interpretation of the claim language that only one limitation from the group's limitations is selected such as an important email mode (performing text substitution, insertion or deletion to emails according to the voice response computer, see fig.7, col.31 lines 12-64).

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose selecting a mode for sequencing a plurality of emails according to a voice command of a user and dynamically changing content presentation of a selected email according to a voice command of a user.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses selecting a mode for sequencing a plurality of emails according to a voice command of a user and dynamically changing content presentation of a selected email according to a voice

command of a user (processing tasks for multi email messages such as conversion and/or insertion in response to users' voice response to the voice response computer, see figs.6, 7, col.30 line 51 to col.31 line 58).

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose summarizing email and email attachments presented to the user.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses summarizing email and email attachments presented to the user (parsing each of email massages into small units such as individual sentences, see col.31 lines 22-64).

 Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose user dials in to voice response computer to retrieve his email from email database.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses that the user dials in to voice response computer to retrieve his email from email database (instructing the voice response computer to post WAV and REALAUDIO files on an Internet web site, see col.26 lines 10-57 and col.27 lines 8-50).

Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose managing interrupts
while an email is being presented, wherein the interrupts are selected from a
group consisting of exiting the email application, accessing a next email.

Art Unit: 2154

accessing a previous email, opening an attachment, returning to an email sequencing menu, accessing another email that contains similar content, and accessing an email attachment that contains similar content.

In claim 24, Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses activating an interrupt (waiting for an acknowledgment) while presenting content of the selected email according to a voice command causing from a group selected from a group of "exiting the email application, accessing a next email, accessing a previous email, opening an attachment, returning to an email sequencing menu, accessing another email that contains similar content, and accessing an email attachment that contains similar content". Appellant claims "...to perform a function selected from the group consisting of exiting the email application, accessing a next email, accessing a previous email, opening an attachment, returning to an email sequencing menu, accessing another email that contains similar content, and accessing an email attachment that contains similar content", not the whole group; therefore, Examiner's interpretation of the claim language that only one limitation from the group's limitations is selected such as opening an email attachment (when an email client sends email containing a large attachment, it must wait for an acknowledgment from an email server, see col.26 lines 17-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/715,179 Page 18

Art Unit: 2154

· Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose means for

dynamically changing email content presented to a user according to a voice

command of the user.

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses means for dynamically

changing email content presented to a user according to a voice command of the

user (using the voice response computer to process user's email messages and

attachments, see col.21 line 41 to col.22 line 42).

Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose changing an email

sequence mode according to a voice command from the user and dynamically

changing email content presented to the user according to a voice command of

the user

Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses changing an email sequence

mode according to a voice command from the user and dynamically changing email

content presented to the user according to a voice command of the user (processing

tasks for multi email messages such as conversion and/or insertion in response to

users' voice response to the voice response computer, see figs.6, 7, col.30 line 51 to

col.31 line 58).

· Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose

Application/Control Number: 10/715,179
Art Unit: 2154

- Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses summarizing text of an email summary (parsing each of email massages into small units such as individual sentences, see col.31 lines 22-64).
- Appellant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose the email sequencing
 mode allowing a user to visually or audibly access text selected from a group
 consisting of entire emails, email summaries, email senders, email subjects, and
 important emails.

In claim 29, Examiner respectfully point out that Guedalia discloses the email mode allowing a user to visually or audibly access text selected from a group selected consisting of "..." Appellant claims "...selected from the group consisting of entire emails, email summaries, email senders, email subjects, and important emails", not the whole group; therefore, Examiner's interpretation of the claim language to be only one limitation from the group's limitations is selected such as important emails (see col.33 lines 6-47 and col.34 lines 15-51).

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

*** /Khanh Dinh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2151

Conferees:

**1

/John Follansbee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151

/Nathan J. Flynn/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2154